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Abstract

Thrombocytopenia is a common complication in liver disease and can adversely affect the treatment of liver cirrhosis, limiting the ability to administer therapy and delaying planned surgical/diagnostic procedures because of an increased risk of bleeding. Multiple factors, including splenic sequestration, reduced activity of the hematopoietic growth factor thrombopoietin, bone marrow suppression by chronic hepatitis C virus infection and anti-cancer agents, and antiviral treatment with interferon-based therapy, can contribute to the development of thrombocytopenia in cirrhotic patients. Of these factors, the major mechanisms for thrombocytopenia in liver cirrhosis are (1) platelet sequestration in the spleen; and (2) decreased production of thrombopoietin in the liver. Several treatment options, including platelet transfusion, interventional partial splenic embolization, and surgical splenectomy, are now available for severe thrombocytopenia in cirrhotic patients. Although thrombopoietin agonists and targeted agents are alternative tools for noninvasively treating thrombocytopenia due to liver cirrhosis, their ability to improve thrombocytopenia in cirrhotic patients is under investigation in clinical trials. In this review, we propose a treatment approach to thrombocytopenia according to our novel concept of splenic volume, and we describe the current management of thrombocytopenia due to liver cirrhosis.
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Core tip: The major mechanisms for thrombocytopenia in liver cirrhosis are (1) platelet sequestration in the spleen; and (2) decreased production of thrombopoietin in the liver. For thrombocytopenia that is caused by platelet sequestration in the spleen, partial splenic embolization or laparoscopic splenectomy are effective. Thrombopoietin agonists and targeted agents are alternative tools for noninvasively treating thrombocytopenia due to decreased thrombopoietin production, although their ability to improve thrombocytopenia is under investigation in clinical trials. In this review, we describe the current management of thrombocytopenia due to liver cirrhosis, and we propose the novel concept of using the splenic volume to discern the primary cause of thrombocytopenia due to liver cirrhosis.
INTRODUCTION

Thrombocytopenia is a common complication in liver disease, and liver disease-related thrombocytopenia is often defined as a platelet count < 100 × 10^9/L, including moderate (less than 100 × 10^9/L) and severe (less than 50 × 10^9/L) thrombocytopenia. Although clinically significant spontaneous bleeding does not usually occur until the platelet count is less than 10 × 10^9/L-20 × 10^9/L, cirrhotic patients with or without cancers often require numerous medical and/or surgical procedures during diagnosis and therapy. The presence of thrombocytopenia can aggravate surgical or traumatic bleeding and can also significantly complicate routine patient care, such as liver biopsy, antiviral therapy, and medically indicated or elective surgery for cirrhotic patients, resulting in delayed or cancelled medical management and affecting the administration of effective treatment for several conditions (e.g., antiviral therapy for chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection or cancer chemotherapy). Indeed, the degree of thrombocytopenia has been shown to be a useful prognostic marker in cirrhotic patients because the finding of severe thrombocytopenia (< 50 × 10^9/L) in liver disease can be associated with significant morbidity[1,2]. Additionally, a decreased platelet count can often be a diagnostic clue to unsuspected cirrhosis and to the presence of esophageal varices[3,4]. Multiple factors, including splenic sequestration, reduced activity of the hematopoietic growth factor thrombopoietin (TPO), cirrhotic coagulopathy, cirrhotic bone marrow suppression by chronic HCV infection and anti-cancer agents, and antiviral treatment with interferon (IFN)-based therapy, can contribute to the development of thrombocytopenia in cirrhotic patients. Of these factors, the major mechanisms for thrombocytopenia in liver cirrhosis are (1) platelet sequestration in the spleen; and (2) decreased production of TPO in the liver. Historically, thrombocytopenia has been thought to arise from the increased pooling of platelets in an enlarged spleen (splenomegaly). While the normal splenic volume has been reported to range from 50-200 mL[7], splenomegaly sometimes increases it to even more than 1000 mL. Platelet sequestration is seen in congestive splenomegaly due to cirrhosis-induced portal hypertension and is characterized by a redistribution of platelets from the circulating pool to the splenic pool[8]. However, the interventional and/or surgical treatments aimed at reversing portal hypertension do not always correct thrombocytopenia in the clinical setting. Indeed, decreased platelet production has been noted, even in patients without splenomegaly[9], suggesting that other factors are involved in thrombocytopenia due to liver cirrhosis. Platelets are derived from megakaryocytes, and TPO is known to be a potent cytokine that regulates megakaryocyte and platelet production[10,11]. TPO, which is primarily produced in the liver but is also produced, to a lesser extent, in the bone marrow and kidney[12-14], binds to the TPO receptor (c-Mpl), which is expressed on the surface of stem cells, megakaryocyte progenitor cells, megakaryocytes, and platelets. Experimentally, when TPO or its receptor (c-Mpl) has been “knocked-out” by homologous recombination in mice, the megakaryocyte and platelet masses are reduced to approximately 10% of the normal value, even though the animals are healthy and do not spontaneously bleed[14-16]. Cirrhotic patients with thrombocytopenia have lower circulating TPO levels than do cirrhotic patients with normal platelet counts, possibly as a result of diminished TPO production[17]. Interestingly, following successful liver transplantation or splenic embolization, the TPO levels appear to normalize, suggesting that decreased TPO production in the liver may also contribute to thrombocytopenia in cirrhotic patients[17-19]. This review describes the current management of thrombocytopenia in cirrhotic patients and also proposes a treatment approach for thrombocytopenia based on using the splenic volume to distinguish among the major causes of thrombocytopenia (splenic and other mechanisms, such as decreased TPO production).

MANAGEMENT OF THROMBOCYTOPENIA DUE TO LIVER CIRRHOSIS

Several treatment options, including platelet transfusion, interventional splenic artery embolization, and surgical splenectomy, are now available for thrombocytopenia in cirrhotic patients. Therapeutic options to safely and effectively raise the platelet level can have significant effects on the care of cirrhotic patients. Specifically, an increase in platelet levels can significantly reduce the need for platelet transfusions and facilitate the use of IFN-based antiviral therapy and other medically indicated treatments in cirrhotic patients. Recently, treatments such as interventional management using partial splenic embolization (PSE) and surgical splenectomy have often attempted to correct splenomegaly-associated thrombocytopenia as the only current tool that noninvasively improves thrombocytopenia is the administration of platelet infusions. For example, antiviral therapy against HCV using peginterferon (Peg-IFN) alfa-2a plus ribavirin was discontinued in up to 2.6% of patients because of laboratory abnormalities, including thrombocytopenia, and 3%-5% of patients receiving Peg-IFN alfa-2a or alfa-2b plus ribavirin required dose modification because of thrombocytopenia[20,21]. In contrast, the management of thrombocytopenia using PSE or splenectomy prior to antiviral therapy successfully enables avoidance of discontinuation because of thrombocytopenia[22,23]. Recently, a low platelet count has been reported to be a predictor of liver atrophy and long-term mortality in patients on a liver transplant waiting list[24]. Additionally, experimental studies have shown that platelets can promote liver regeneration and improve liver fibrosis[25-27]. Indeed, PSE has a clinically improved prognostic outcome in cirrhotic patients[28]. Although invasive procedures such as PSE and splenectomy are occasionally underused due to potential interference with treatment options, including...
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Table 1  Thrombopoietin-receptor agonists for the treatment of thrombocytopenia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Target disease</th>
<th>Dose (route)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant human thrombopoietin</td>
<td>Withdrawn from clinical use</td>
<td>(intravenous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG-rHuMGDF</td>
<td>Withdrawn from clinical use</td>
<td>(subcutaneous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rhIL-11</td>
<td>Chemotherapy-induced TCP</td>
<td>50 μg/kg per day (subcutaneous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPO mimetics</td>
<td>TCP in patients with cirrhosis</td>
<td>50 μg/kg per day (subcutaneous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romiplostim</td>
<td>ITP</td>
<td>0.2-10 μg/kg once a week (subcutaneous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Once a week (subcutaneous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eltrombopag</td>
<td>HCV-related TCP</td>
<td>2 μg/kg once a week (subcutaneous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50 mg once daily (oral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES501</td>
<td>HCV-related TCP (phase II; NCT00914927)</td>
<td>25 mg once daily (oral)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
limited by their proinflammatory properties, which induce flu-like symptoms, including hypotension, fatigue, and myalgias. However, recombinant human IL-11 (rhIL-11) was approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration for use in the prevention of chemotherapy-induced thrombocytopenia. IL-11 acts synergistically with IL-3, TPO, and stem cell factors to increase the number and maturation of megakaryocyte progenitors. IL-11 has also been shown to increase spleen megakaryocyte colony-forming cells and spleen colony-forming units in mice. In patients with cancer who were receiving chemotherapy, a subcutaneous injection of rhIL-11 at a dose of 25-50 μg/kg per day increased their platelet levels and reduced their need for platelet transfusions. In cirrhotic patients (Child A and B) with thrombocytopenia, a daily subcutaneous injection of rhIL-11 at 50 μg/kg per day improved platelet counts within 6 to 8 d from the treatment initiation, and the platelet counts doubled in 89% of the cirrhotic patients, achieving > 80 × 10^9/L in 78%. However, rhIL-11 can cause significant toxicity, including edema, fluid retention, cardiovascular events, and, in some studies, myalgias and arthralgias. Therefore, the use of rhIL-11 in cirrhotic patients requires careful attention due to the high frequency of regimen-related toxicity.

**Mimetics (TPO peptide and nonpeptide mimetics)**

Recently, great interest has been focused on the development of TPO peptide and nonpeptide mimetics. These mimetics are designed to bind to the TPO receptor but have no sequence homology with endogenous TPO. Two TPO receptor agonists (Romiplostim and Eltrombopag) are currently available.

Romiplostim is a 60 kDa molecule that is composed of 4 TPO-mimetic peptides that are attached via glycine bridges to an IgG heavy-chain Fc molecule. This agent increased the platelet count in healthy individuals and in patients with immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) and myelodysplastic syndrome. Romiplostim has been evaluated in patients with HCV-related thrombocytopenia. In patients with chronic liver disease and severe thrombocytopenia secondary to HCV infection, preoperative Romiplostim administration increased platelet counts to a level acceptable for elective surgical interventions without postoperative bleeding episodes. Romiplostim is currently approved by the FDA only for the treatment of chronic ITP in adults and is administered weekly as a subcutaneous injection at a dose of 1 to 10 mg/kg.

Eltrombopag is a small-molecule nonpeptide oral platelet growth factor that acts as a TPO-R agonist. The binding of eltrombopag to the transmembrane domain of the TPO receptor activates intracellular signal transduction pathways that stimulate megakaryocyte proliferation and differentiation and increase platelet counts in a dose-dependent manner in healthy subjects and patients with chronic ITP. Eltrombopag is FDA-approved for the treatment of adults with ITP and is administered orally at a dose of 25 to 75 mg per day (lower doses in patients of Asian ancestry). One potential advantage of Eltrombopag that makes it superior to the TPO peptide (Romiplostim) is that Eltrombopag may be administered orally. A phase II, multicenter, randomized trial of daily Eltrombopag in patients with HCV-associated thrombocytopenia and compensated liver disease showed that, after 4 wk of therapy, platelet count increases to > 100 × 10^9/L were achieved in 75%, 79%, and 95% of patients treated with 30 mg, 50 mg, and 75 mg Eltrombopag, respectively, compared to 0% of placebo patients (P < 0.001). None of the patients with liver disease had a worsening of their liver function tests. Additionally, significantly more patients in the Eltrombopag treatment groups completed 12 wk of antiviral therapy (36%, 53%, and 65% in the 30-mg, 50-mg, and 75-mg groups, respectively), compared with 6% of placebo patients. The latest study revealed that Eltrombopag administration prior to elective invasive procedures reduced the need for platelet transfusions in patients with chronic liver disease compared with placebo, with platelet transfusion frequencies of 28% and 81%, respectively. Nonetheless, the use of Eltrombopag has been reported to be associated with an increased incidence of portal-vein thrombosis compared to placebo, at 4% and 1%, respectively. Collectively, although rhIL-11 and TPO mimetics are alternative tools for noninvasively treating thrombocytopenia due to liver cirrhosis, the evidence for their ability to improve thrombocytopenia is mainly based on patients with ITP; few studies have evaluated cirrhotic patients. A further investigation of their usefulness for the treatment of thrombocytopenia due to liver cirrhosis is expected through clinical trials.

**INTERVENTIONAL MANAGEMENT**

**PSE**

PSE is an interventional, non-surgical procedure that was developed to treat hypersplenism secondary to hepatic disease. PSE for hypersplenism can be carried out with almost no blood loss under local anesthesia. In 1973, Maddison proposed total splenic embolization using interventional techniques instead of splenectomy for the treatment of hypersplenism. Initially, patients with complete splenic embolization had severe complications, including splenic abscess, splenic rupture, serious pneumonia, sepsis, hematomata, bleeding, pancreatic and hepatic infarction, and death. Thus, an important limitation of complete splenic infarction was the high incidence of morbidity. Six years later, Spigos et al. developed PSE and treated 13 patients with PSE, antibiotic coverage, and post-embolization pain control, which were performed safely and effectively. A significant reduction in both the morbidity and mortality rates was obtained by PSE compared with complete splenic embolization.

As for the clinical effects, PSE for hypersplenism has been reported to achieve prolonged improvement in blood cell counts. The platelet count rises after PSE and then reaches the peak value in 1-2 wk. The platelet...
counts stabilize in 2 mo at approximately 2-fold higher than their value before PSE, slightly less than the peak value. This PSE-derived clinical benefit is mainly due to the resolution of the platelet sequestration in the spleen. As an alternative possible mechanism of increasing the platelet counts after PSE, Hidaka et al[19] reported that TPO production, the score of megakaryocytes with platelet production, and an index of platelet production by megakaryocytes in the bone marrow were restored after PSE in cirrhotic patients but not in patients with idiopathic portal hypertension. In addition to the prolonged improvement in blood cell counts, several studies have reported PSE-associated fringe benefits, such as individual liver functional improvement[61,63]. The mechanism of these liver functional changes is not well elucidated but may be related to increases in hepatic arterial and superior mesenteric vasculature blood flow after embolization[40]. Porter et al[23] used a video dilution technique to demonstrate that the splenic arterial flow was reduced from 19% to 3% post-embolization, whereas there was a concomitant increase in the hepatic arterial flow from 3% to 15% and an increase in the superior mesenteric blood flow from 6% to 19%. However, Mukaiya et al[65] reported that PSE decreased splenic arterial flow and reduced splenic vein pressure without altering portal blood flow by a thermodilution method. Bárcena et al[67] reported that PSE could resolve splenic artery steal syndrome, which resulted in improved graft function in liver-transplanted patients.

PSE, like laparoscopic splenectomy, has recently been highlighted and widely applied to improve thrombocytopenia in cirrhotic patients prior to the administration of Peg-IFN and ribavirin for the treatment of HCV infections. PSE prior to IFN-based therapy, compared with no PSE, produced advantageous maintenance of higher platelet counts and an increase in adherence to Peg-IFN[25,68]. PSE can also be adapted to improve esophageal varices and portal hypertension in cirrhotic patients. In other medical treatments, such as hepatocellular carcinoma[69], chemotherapy for cancer, and orthotopic liver transplantation[70,71], clinical benefits, such as improved thrombocytopenia and neutropenia, have been reported for PSE as a pre- and post-treatment procedure.

Splenic infarction ratio or splenic infarcted volume?

Classically, the splenic infarction ratio ranges from 50% to 80%; this range has been used routinely as the target of PSE. While the splenic infarction rate correlated positively with increases in the platelet count, no therapeutic differences were found in patients with splenic infarction rates of 50%, 70% and 80%. Therefore, more reliable predictive factors of the increase in platelet counts after PSE and the recommended extent of splenic infarction in PSE for liver cirrhosis are needed. Recently, contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) scanning has enabled us to accurately measure the area of splenic infarction. A previous study by the present authors proposed the novel concept that infarcted splenic volume, and not the splenic infarction rate, is a determinant factor for increases in the platelet count after PSE and that an infarcted splenic volume of greater than 388 mL could induce a sufficient increase in the platelet count at 1 year after PSE. [formula of the increased platelet counts at 1 \ year after PSE = 2.19 + 0.01 \times \text{infarcted splenic volume (mL)}, R^2 = 0.203][72]. This easy prediction method would help to determine the necessary infarced splenic volume or spleen embolization ratio during PSE. As an adequate preoperative splenic volume and infarcted splenic volume are required for an effective increase in platelet counts after PSE, the PSE for cirrhotic patients with small spleens, such as those smaller than 400 mL, must embolize nearly the entire volume of the spleen (388 mL = 97% of 400 mL). Based on the above concept, which applies to cirrhotic patients with preoperative splenic volumes < 400 mL, a laparoscopic splenectomy, which can remove the total spleen, has been recommended to obtain as great an increase in the platelet counts as possible[65,72]. In cirrhotic patients with preoperative splenic volumes greater than 400 mL, a prolonged increase in the platelet counts could be achieved by PSE with sufficient infarced splenic volume. As for the upper limit of infarcted splenic volume in a single PSE, however, there is evidence that a massive infarcted splenic volume of greater than 540 mL in a single PSE is a significant risk factor for severe complications, such as splenic abscess, refractory ascites, or pleural effusion post PSE[73]. Although recent advances in interventional radiology have further decreased the side-effects of PSE and have greatly expanded the indications of PSE, the mortality rate of PSE for hypersplenism has still been shown to fall within the range of 0% to 17%[68,73-75]. As for mortality, some studies have reported that PSE for hypersplenism is associated with no deaths[26,67], whereas others have reported a mortality rate ranging from 1% to 12%[73-75,76].

A relapse in thrombocytopenia is occasionally observed in patients following PSE. In general, the residual splenic volume will decrease gradually over a period of months after PSE. In contrast, a re-enlargement of the residual splenic area after PSE, accompanied by a relapse of thrombocytopenia, has been reported in some cases[82]. Indeed, in cirrhotic patients with massive splenomegaly above 700 mL, the non-infarcted splenic volume plays an important role in long-term platelet increases[82]. In cases of relapse after PSE, laparoscopic splenectomy as a salvage treatment can provide a sufficient increase in platelet counts[79]. However, for an inexperienced surgeon, splenectomy following PSE is very difficult because of the inflammatory reaction around the spleen. As an alternative procedure, repeated PSE might be a safe and effective strategy against the relapse of thrombocytopenia post PSE. Furthermore, Child-Pugh class C is known as a significant risk factor of severe complications after PSE[80]. Additionally, in patients with Child-Pugh class C and massive splenomegaly above 1000 mL,
repeated PSE may be a safe and effective strategy to achieve a sufficient infarcted splenic volume and a smaller non-infarcted splenic volume. Therefore, depending on the preoperative splenic volume, laparoscopic splenectomy (preoperative splenic volume < 400 mL), a single PSE (400 mL < preoperative splenic volume < 700 mL), or repeated PSE (preoperative splenic volume > 700 mL) may be recommended [62]. This new approach, based on the preoperative splenic volume, will help us to select a suitable operative procedure for thrombocytopenia preoperatively, as shown in Table 2.

Thus, the volume of the embolized spleen has been found to be critical in PSE. If the embolization volume is too small, then the therapy will not be effective, and if it is too large, then the risk of serious complications is increased significantly. Therefore, the quantitative evaluation of the embolized volume during PSE is both desirable and useful. The blood flow rate has traditionally been used as an indicator of the embolized volume, but the results have largely depended on the experience of the operator. Making an accurate estimation of the embolized splenic volume during PSE is still difficult. Further developments in the technique of real-time assessment for embolized splenic volume are expected to produce a safer and more effective PSE.

**Surgical Management**

**Splenectomy**

As a surgical option, open splenectomy has been performed for hypersplenism since the 1950s. Since the first report of laparoscopic splenectomy for ITP by Delaitre and Maignien [68] in 1991, recent advances in laparoscopic surgical techniques have enabled the performance of laparoscopic splenectomy, even for hypersplenism, with advantages over traditional open splenectomy that include less blood loss, less pain, a shorter hospital stay, better cosmetic outcomes, and fewer surgery-related complications [81-83]. Laparoscopic splenectomy can also be performed as a hand-assisted laparoscopic splenectomy (HALS) in cirrhotic patients with hypersplenism. Several studies have demonstrated that HALS is more appropriate than total laparoscopic splenectomy in cirrhotic patients with portal hypertension as laparoscopic splenectomy is technically difficult due to the splenomegaly, well-developed collateral circulation, and the increased risk of bleeding caused by thrombocytopenia. Ohta et al [68] reported that portal hypertension and severe liver dysfunction were independent risk factors for massive intraoperative bleeding during laparoscopic splenectomy. Furthermore, massive intraoperative hemorrhage, which is usually difficult to control by laparoscopic procedures alone, is a risk factor for serious postoperative morbidity in patients with liver dysfunction. The surgeon’s use of one hand in HALS can control a sudden massive intraoperative hemorrhage and can easily mobilize a huge spleen, which is likely to result in less intraoperative blood loss and shorter operative times in comparison to conventional laparoscopic splenectomy.

Similar to PSE, laparoscopic splenectomy has been performed for hypersplenism-induced thrombocytopenia in patients with cirrhosis. Yoshida et al [85] reported that the platelet count after splenectomy in cirrhotic patients can be predicted based on preoperative clinical characteristics [the increased platelet count at 1 mo (× 10^9/L) = 6.320 + 0.011 (preoperative splenic volume) - 0.004 (lymphocyte count/μL) + 2.25 (preoperative platelet count × 10^9/L), R^2 = 0.336]. Thus, similar to PSE, the laparoscopic splenectomy-derived clinical benefit for thrombocytopenia depends on the preoperative splenic volume, indicating that preoperative splenic volume will help physicians to discern the primary cause of thrombocytopenia due to liver cirrhosis among splenic and other mechanisms, such as decreased TPO production (Figure 1).

The morbidity and mortality rates following laparoscopic splenectomy for hypersplenism are 11%-36% and 0%, respectively [86-88]. Among the severe complications that can occur after splenectomy, portal and splenic vein thrombosis (PSVT) and overwhelming post-splenectomy infection (OPSI) are well-known adverse events. The former originates from hemodynamic changes that occur post-splenectomy, such as a complete lack of splenic vein flow following decreased portal vein flow. Recent advances in diagnostic modalities, such as enhanced CT or Doppler ultrasonography, reveal that PSVT is a common complication after splenectomy, despite the endogenous coagulopathy of cirrhosis [89,92]. Previous studies demonstrated that massive splenomegaly and the splenic vein diameter were significant risk factors for PSVT after laparoscopic splenectomy in cirrhotic patients [89,94]. Both the spleen size and splenic vein diameter are thought to be proportional to the splenic venous flow and pressure, suggesting that the rapid drop in the flow of the splenic vein after splenectomy contributes to thrombus formation. As the clinical manifestations of PSVT are

---

**Table 2 Approaches to treat thrombocytopenia induced by liver cirrhosis based on preoperative splenic volume** [42]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Splenic volume</th>
<th>500 mL ≤ SV ≤ 700 mL</th>
<th>700 mL &lt; SV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>L-splenectomy</td>
<td>L-splenectomy or Repeated PSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target in PSE</td>
<td>or Single PSE Infarcted splenic area</td>
<td>Non-infarcted splenic area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SV: Splenic volume; L-Splenectomy: Laparoscopic splenectomy; PSE: Partial splenic embolization. Modified and adapted from reference [62].
nonspecific, asymptomatic PSVT is diagnosed in 52.5% (21/40) of patients. Among the types of PSVT, portal vein thrombosis (PVT) and mesenteric vein thrombus extending from the distal splenic vein should be treated with anti-coagulation therapy (Figure 2). Kawanaka et al. reported a 36% incidence of PVT after splenectomy in cirrhotic patients and a low antithrombin III (AT-III) activity; any further decreases in this activity were associated with PVT. They showed that treatment with AT-III concentrates on postoperative days 1, 2, and 3 was likely to prevent the development of PVT after laparoscopic splenectomy.

Overwhelming post-splenectomy infection
The spleen contains many macrophages (part of the reticuloendothelial system), which are immune cells that phagocytose (eat) and destroy bacteria. Since overwhelming post-splenectomy infection (OPSI) was first described by King and Schumaker in 1952, OPSI has become another well-known adverse event post-splenectomy. OPSI originates mainly from encapsulated bacteria, such as Streptococcus pneumoniae, Hemophilus influenzae, and Neisseria meningitidis. Because capsules made of polysaccharides (sugars) permit bacteria to evade phagocytosis by macrophages, opsonization is required for the complete phagocytosis of an encapsulated bacterium. The spleen produces immunoglobulin M antibodies and complements, both of which can be used to opsonize bacteria. Thus, the spleen plays an important role in both the tagging of bacteria for destruction and the actual destruction of the bacteria through phagocytosis. As infecting bacteria cannot be adequately opsonized in conditions such as post-splenectomy, this infection becomes more severe. OPSI is a rare but rapidly fatal infection that occurs in patients following the removal of the spleen. Therefore, post-splenectomy patients require immunizations (pneumococcal conjugate vaccine, Hib vaccine, and the meningococcal vaccine) against pathogens that normally require opsonization for phagocytosis by the splenic macrophages.

CONCLUSION
The major mechanisms of thrombocytopenia in liver cirrhosis are (1) platelet sequestration in the spleen; and (2) decreased production of TPO in the liver. The concept of splenic volume helps us to discern the primary cause of thrombocytopenia due to liver cirrhosis among splenic sequestration and other mechanisms, such as decreased TPO production. For thrombocytopenia caused by platelet sequestration in the spleen, either PSE or laparoscopic splenectomy is effective against thrombocytopenia in cirrhotic patients. The choice between PSE and splenectomy depends upon the splenic volume, the intention of the treatment (required increase in platelet counts), and the conditions of the patient (whether gen-
eral anesthesia is available) (Table 3). For thrombocytopenia caused by a decreased production of TPO, TPO agonists and targeted agents may represent alternative tools for noninvasive treatment of thrombocytopenia due to liver cirrhosis in the near future.
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